

Tri-Bimaximal lepton mixing with $A_4 \ltimes (Z_2)^3$

Stefano Morisi ^{a)1}

^{a)} AHEP Group, Institut de Física Corpuscular – C.S.I.C./Universitat de València
Edificio Institutos de Paterna, Apt 22085, E-46071 Valencia, Spain

Abstract

We consider a model based on $A_4 \ltimes (Z_e \times Z_\mu \times Z_\tau)$ flavor symmetry for Tri-Bimaximal lepton mixing with two scalar A_4 -triplets whose vacuum expectation values have the same alignment. Neutrino masses are generated through effective dimension five Weinberg operators. Charged leptons mass hierarchies are generated with an additional Froggatt-Nielsen $U(1)_F$ flavor symmetry under which right-handed leptons are charged.

PACS numbers: 14.60.Pq, 11.30.Hv

1 Introduction

A successful phenomenological ansatz for leptons has been proposed by Harrison, Perkins and Scott (HPS) and is given by [3]

$$U_{\text{HPS}} = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{2/3} & 1/\sqrt{3} & 0 \\ -1/\sqrt{6} & 1/\sqrt{3} & -1/\sqrt{2} \\ -1/\sqrt{6} & 1/\sqrt{3} & 1/\sqrt{2} \end{pmatrix} \quad (1)$$

which corresponds to $\tan^2 \theta_{\text{atm}} = 1$, $\sin^2 \theta_{\text{chooz}} = 0$ and $\tan^2 \theta_{\text{sol}} = 0.5$, providing a good first approximation to the values indicated by current neutrino oscillation data [1, 2].

There are many studies to derive the so called Tri-Bimaximal (TB) lepton mixing in eq. (1) by means of non-abelian groups like A_4 [4, 5], T' [6, 7], S_4 [8, 9, 10] and $\Delta(27)$ [11]. However all models with non-abelian flavor symmetry G_f giving TB lepton mixing, need at least two scalar fields whose vacuum expectation values (vevs) break G_f into distinct subgroups of G_f . In particular A_4 -based models need two A_4 -triplets, for instances φ and χ , whose vevs are $\langle \varphi_1 \rangle = \langle \varphi_2 \rangle = \langle \varphi_3 \rangle$ and $\langle \chi_1 \rangle \neq \langle \chi_2 \rangle = \langle \chi_3 \rangle = 0$. We say that φ and χ have distinct vevs *alignments*. When φ and χ take vevs A_4 breaks respectively into Z_2 and Z_3 . Different papers [12, 13, 14, 15, 16] have recently

¹e-mail address: morisi@ific.uv.es

	$C_1 = \{I\}$	$C_2 = \{T\}$	$C_3 = \{T^2\}$	$C_4 = \{S\}$
1	1	1	1	1
1'	1	ω	ω^2	1
1''	1	ω^2	ω	1
3	3	0	0	-1

Table 1: Character table of A_4 where C_i are the different classes and $\omega^3 \equiv 1$.

emphasized the difficulty to have distinct vevs alignments¹. In order to account for such alignments, extra-dimensions [17, 18], supersymmetry [19, 20] or Wilson lines [21] have been invoked. Recently has been studied models for TB mixing without vevs alignments [12, 16, 22] where the flavor symmetry is softly broken.

In this paper we study the possibility to generate TB mixing in the lepton sector with two A_4 scalar triplets. Their vevs break spontaneously A_4 into Z_2 and have the same alignment. We do not have A_4 scalar triplets whose vevs are misaligned to get TB mixing. We need to enlarge the flavor group A_4 to the group defined as $G_f = A_4 \ltimes (Z_e \times Z_\mu \times Z_\tau)$, that is the semidirect product ² of A_4 with the group $(Z_2)^3 \equiv (Z_e \times Z_\mu \times Z_\tau)$. As in [14], we would like to be as minimal as possible generating charged lepton masses by dimension four operators and constructing the neutrino masses by dimension five Weinberg operators [23], without enter into the details of the particular dynamical model that generates such a operators.

In the next section we introduce the A_4 group, in section 3 we give the main feature of the model based on G_f flavor symmetry, in section 4 we study the Higgs potential and then in section 5 we give the conclusions.

2 The group A_4

A_4 is the finite group of the even permutations of four objects (for a short introduction to A_4 , see for instance [20] and references therein). All the 12 elements of the group can be generated from two elements S and T that satisfy the following defining rules

$$S^2 = T^3 = (ST)^3 = \mathcal{I}. \quad (2)$$

Since A_4 group has four equivalence classes, there are four irreducible representations, one triplet 3 and three singlets 1, 1', 1'', see table (1). The product of the singlets is equal to the Z_3 product, then $1' \times 1'' \sim 1$, $1' \times 1' \sim 1''$ and so on. The product of two triplet 3×3 contains the three singlets and two triplets. The form of the irreducible representations contained into the product of two triplets, depends from the choice of the structure of the generators S and T . Here we take the basis where T is diagonal

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \omega & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \omega^2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad S = \frac{1}{3} \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 2 & 2 \\ 2 & -1 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 & -1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3)$$

¹Also called the “misalignment problem”[6].

²See for instance [21] for a short introduction.

	L	e^c	μ^c	τ^c	ξ	h	φ	ϕ_1	ϕ_2	ϕ_3
A_4	3	1	1'	1''	1	1	3	3		
Z_{2e}	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+
$Z_{2\mu}$	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	-	+
$Z_{2\tau}$	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	-
Z_3	ω	ω^2	ω^2	ω^2	1	ω	1	1	1	1

Table 2: Lepton and scalar multiplet structure of our model, see text.

In such a basis the product of two triplets χ and φ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
1 &\sim (\chi\varphi) = (\chi_1\varphi_1 + \chi_2\varphi_3 + \chi_3\varphi_2), \\
1' &\sim (\chi\varphi)' = (\chi_3\varphi_3 + \chi_1\varphi_2 + \chi_2\varphi_1), \\
1'' &\sim (\chi\varphi)'' = (\chi_2\varphi_2 + \chi_1\varphi_3 + \chi_3\varphi_1), \\
3_s &\sim \begin{pmatrix} 2\chi_1\varphi_1 - \chi_2\varphi_3 - \chi_3\varphi_2 \\ 2\chi_3\varphi_3 - \chi_1\varphi_2 - \chi_2\varphi_1 \\ 2\chi_2\varphi_2 - \chi_1\varphi_3 - \chi_3\varphi_1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad 3_a \sim \begin{pmatrix} \chi_2\varphi_3 - \chi_3\varphi_2 \\ \chi_1\varphi_2 - \chi_2\varphi_1 \\ \chi_1\varphi_3 - \chi_3\varphi_1 \end{pmatrix}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

We observe that in the basis of eq. (3) the product 3×3 is different from $3 \times \bar{3}$ since T is complex. For instance, the product of χ and $\bar{\varphi}$ is given by eq. (4) with $\varphi_2 \leftrightarrow \varphi_3$.

3 The model

Consider the model defined in Table(2). The left-handed doublets transform as a triplet of A_4 , namely $L = (L_e, L_\mu, L_\tau)$ and the right-handed fields e^c, μ^c, τ^c as different singlets of A_4 . Each right-handed field l_a^c is charged under the corresponding Z_{2a} with $a = e, \mu, \tau$. We have two Higgs doublets h and ξ that are singlets of A_4 and they differ for an extra abelian symmetry Z_3 . There is an A_4 -triplet $\varphi = (\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \varphi_3)$ of Higgs doublets. We have also one more A_4 -triplet of Higgs doublets $\phi = (\phi_1, \phi_2, \phi_3)$ where each scalar field ϕ_a transforms with respect to Z_{2a} . As in [24, 25] the $(Z_2)^3$ symmetries glue each l_i^c with the corresponding ϕ_i . As we will show below, $(Z_2)^3$ remove off-diagonal terms in the charged lepton sector, and as a consequence the charged lepton mass matrix is diagonal.

The $G_f \times Z_3$ invariant Lagrangian reads

$$\mathcal{L} = y_e L_e e^c \phi_1 + y_\mu L_\mu \mu^c \phi_2 + y_\tau L_\tau \tau^c \phi_3 + \frac{y_a}{\Lambda} (LL) \xi h + \frac{y_b}{\Lambda} (LL\varphi) h, \tag{5}$$

where (LL) means the A_4 -singlet contained into 3×3 , $(LL\phi)$ the A_4 -singlet contained into $3 \times 3 \times 3$ and Λ is the cut-off scale. According to the A_4 symmetry also the following terms are allowed (see eq. (4))

$$y_e (L_\mu e^c \phi_3 + L_\tau e^c \phi_2) + y_\mu (L_e \mu^c \phi_3 + L_\tau \mu^c \phi_1) + y_\tau (L_e \tau^c \phi_2 + L_\tau \tau^c \phi_1), \tag{6}$$

but these terms are not invariant under the $Z_e \times Z_\mu \times Z_\tau$ symmetry.

When the ϕ 's Higgs doublets take vevs as below

$$\langle \phi_1 \rangle = \langle \phi_2 \rangle = \langle \phi_3 \rangle = v, \tag{7}$$

the charged lepton mass matrix is diagonal with masses proportional to the yukawa couplings y_e , y_μ and y_τ . We can generate the charged lepton mass hierarchies assuming that the right-handed charged fields transform with respect to an extra Froggatt-Nielsen (FN) symmetry $U(1)_F$. Assuming for instance the FN charges F to be $F(e^c) = 4$, $F(\mu^c) = 2$, $F(\tau^c) = 0$ and a flavon field θ with $F(\theta) = -1$, then $y_e \sim \lambda^4$, $y_\mu \sim \lambda^2$ and $y_\tau \sim 1$ where $\lambda \sim \langle \theta \rangle / \Lambda$. We can reproduce the correct lepton mass hierarchies if $\lambda \approx 0.22$.

When the scalar Higgs fields ξ and h take vevs $\langle \xi \rangle = u$ and $\langle h \rangle = t$ and assuming

$$\langle \varphi_1 \rangle = \langle \varphi_2 \rangle = \langle \varphi_3 \rangle = k, \quad (8)$$

the Majorana neutrino mass matrix takes the form

$$M_\nu = \begin{pmatrix} a + 2b & -b & -b \\ -b & 2b & a - b \\ -b & a - b & 2b \end{pmatrix}, \quad (9)$$

where $a = y_a u t / \Lambda$ and $b = y_b k t / \Lambda$. We will show in the next section that the vevs alignments of eqs. (7) and (8) are natural in our model. The matrix M_ν is $\mu \leftrightarrow \tau$ invariant and $M_{\nu_{11}} + M_{\nu_{13}} = M_{\nu_{22}} + M_{\nu_{23}}$. Therefore the atmospheric angle is maximal, the reactor angle is zero and the solar angle trimaximal, see for instance [16]. We have three different eigenvalues $m_1 = a + 3b$, $m_2 = a$ and $m_3 = -a + 3b$ and it is possible to reproduce the ratio $r = \Delta m_{\text{sol}}^2 / \Delta m_{\text{atm}}^2$, see for instance [17].

4 The scalar potential

In our model there are 8 Higgs doublets that belong to different representations of G_f . We have assumed the vev of the two A_4 -triplets to be equally aligned, that is $\langle \phi_1 \rangle = \langle \phi_2 \rangle = \langle \phi_3 \rangle$ and $\langle \varphi_1 \rangle = \langle \varphi_2 \rangle = \langle \varphi_3 \rangle$. We have showed that these alignments together with the $(Z_2)^3$ symmetry give TB mixing. In [17] has already been showed that such a alignment is a solution of the most generic A_4 invariant potential. Here we study the Higgs potential and we show that the above alignment is one possible minimum.

The scalar potential invariant under $G_f \times Z_3$ is

$$\begin{aligned} V = & \mu_1 h^\dagger h + \mu_2 \xi^\dagger \xi + \mu_3 (\phi^\dagger \phi) + \mu_4 (\varphi^\dagger \varphi) + \\ & + \sum_{a,b=r} \lambda_{ab} (\phi^\dagger \phi)_a (\phi^\dagger \phi)_b + \sum_{a,b=r} \lambda'_{ab} (\varphi^\dagger \varphi)_a (\varphi^\dagger \varphi)_b + \sum_{a,b=r} \lambda''_{ab} (\phi^\dagger \phi)_a (\varphi^\dagger \varphi)_b + \\ & + \lambda_1 (\phi^\dagger \phi) \xi^\dagger \xi + \lambda_2 (\phi^\dagger \phi) h^\dagger h + \lambda_3 \xi^\dagger \xi \xi^\dagger \xi + \lambda_4 h^\dagger h h^\dagger h + \lambda_5 \xi^\dagger \xi h^\dagger h + \\ & + \lambda_6 (\varphi^\dagger \varphi) \xi^\dagger \xi + \lambda_7 (\varphi^\dagger \varphi) h^\dagger h + \text{h.c.} \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

where $r = 1, 1', 1'', 3_s, 3_a$. We assume the vevs of the Higgs doublets to point in the same direction of $SU(2)$ and, for simplicity all the vevs to be real. We define

$$\langle \varphi_i \rangle = k_i, \quad \langle \phi_i \rangle = v_i, \quad \langle \xi \rangle = u, \quad \langle h \rangle = t,$$

then the scalar potential reads

$$\begin{aligned}
V_{min} = & \mu_1 t^2 + \mu_2 u^2 + \mu_3 (v_1^2 + v_2^2 + v_3^2) + \mu_4 (k_1^2 + k_2^2 + k_3^2) + \\
& + \lambda_{11} (v_1^2 + v_2^2 + v_3^2)^2 + \lambda_{1'1''} (v_3 v_2 + v_1 v_2 + v_3 v_1)^2 + \\
& + \lambda_{3_s 3_s} [(2v_1^2 - v_2^2 - v_3^2)^2 + 2(2v_3 v_2 - v_1 v_3 - v_2 v_1)^2] + \\
& + \lambda_{3_a 3_a} [(v_3^2 - v_2^2)^2 - 2(v_1 v_3 - v_1 v_2)^2] + \\
& + \lambda'_{11} (k_1^2 + k_2^2 + k_3^2)^2 + \lambda'_{1'1''} (k_3 k_2 + k_1 k_2 + k_3 k_1)^2 + \\
& + \lambda'_{3_s 3_s} [(2k_1^2 - k_2^2 - k_3^2)^2 + 2(2k_3 k_2 - k_1 k_3 - k_2 k_1)^2] + \\
& + \lambda'_{3_a 3_a} [(k_3^2 - k_2^2)^2 - 2(k_1 k_3 - k_1 k_2)^2] + \\
& + \lambda''_{11} (v_1^2 + v_2^2 + v_3^2)(k_1^2 + k_2^2 + k_3^2) + \lambda''_{1'1''} (v_3 v_2 + v_1 v_2 + v_3 v_1)(k_3 k_2 + k_1 k_2 + k_3 k_1) + \\
& + \lambda''_{3_s 3_s} ((2v_1^2 - v_2^2 - v_3^2)(2k_1^2 - k_2^2 - k_3^2) + \\
& \quad + 2(2v_3 v_2 - v_1 v_3 - v_2 v_1)(2k_3 k_2 - k_1 k_3 - k_2 k_1)) + \\
& + \lambda''_{3_a 3_a} [(v_2^2 - v_3^2)(k_2^2 - k_3^2) - 2(v_1 v_3 - v_1 v_2)(k_1 k_3 - k_1 k_2)] + \\
& + \lambda_1 (v_1^2 + v_2^2 + v_3^2) u^2 + \lambda_2 (v_1^2 + v_2^2 + v_3^2) t^2 + \lambda_3 u^4 + \lambda_4 t^4 + \lambda_5 u^2 t^2 + \\
& + \lambda_6 (k_1^2 + k_2^2 + k_3^2) u^2 + \lambda_7 (k_1^2 + k_2^2 + k_3^2) t^2. \tag{11}
\end{aligned}$$

A possible solution of the system $\partial V / \partial x_i = 0$ with $x_i = v_i, k_i, u, t$ is

$$v_1 = v_2 = v_3 = v \neq 0, \quad k_1 = k_2 = k_3 = k \neq 0, \quad t \neq 0, \quad u \neq 0, \tag{12}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}
v = & \{ \lambda_5 \lambda_6 \lambda'' \mu_1 - 2\lambda_3 \lambda_7 \lambda'' \mu_1 - 2\lambda_4 \lambda_6 \lambda'' \mu_2 + \lambda_5 \lambda_7 \lambda'' \mu_2 + 6\lambda_4 \lambda_6^2 \mu_3 - 6\lambda_5 \lambda_6 \lambda_7 \mu_3 + \\
& + 6\lambda_3 \lambda_7^2 \mu_3 - 24\lambda_3 \lambda_4 \lambda' \mu_3 + 6\lambda_5^2 \lambda' \mu_3 + 4\lambda_3 \lambda_4 \lambda'' \mu_4 - \lambda_5^2 \lambda'' \mu_4 + \\
& + \lambda_2 (-\lambda_6^2 \mu_1 + 4\lambda_3 \lambda' \mu_1 + \lambda_6 \lambda_7 \mu_2 - 2\lambda_5 \lambda' \mu_2 + \lambda_5 \lambda_6 \mu_4 - \lambda_3 \lambda_7 \mu_4) + \\
& + 3\lambda_1 (\lambda_6 \lambda_7 \mu_1 - 2\lambda_5 \lambda' \mu_1 - \lambda_7^2 \mu_2 + 4\lambda_4 \lambda' \mu_2 - 2\lambda_4 \lambda_6 \mu_4 + \lambda_5 \lambda_7 \mu_4) \} / \\
& \{ 9\lambda_1^2 \lambda_7^2 - 36\lambda_1^2 \lambda_4 \lambda' + \lambda_2^2 (\lambda_6^2 - 4\lambda_3 \lambda') - 4\lambda (\lambda_4 \lambda_6^2 - \lambda_5 \lambda_6 \lambda_7 + \lambda_3 \lambda_7^2 - 4\lambda_3 \lambda_4 \lambda' + \lambda_5^2 \lambda') + \\
& + 12\lambda_1 \lambda_4 \lambda_6 \lambda'' - 6\lambda_1 \lambda_5 \lambda_7 \lambda'' - 4\lambda_3 \lambda_4 \lambda''^2 + \lambda_5^2 \lambda''^2 + \\
& - 2\lambda_2 (3\lambda_1 \lambda_6 \lambda_7 - 6\lambda_1 \lambda_5 \lambda' + \lambda_5 \lambda_6 \lambda'' - 2\lambda_3 \lambda_7 \lambda'') \}, \tag{13}
\end{aligned}$$

with $\lambda = 9(\lambda_{11} + \lambda_{1'1''})$, $\lambda' = 9(\lambda'_{11} + \lambda'_{1'1''})$ and $\lambda'' = 9(\lambda''_{11} + \lambda''_{1'1''})$. Similar relations can be found for k, u and t . We have verified that there is a non-vanishing portion of the parameter space where the Hessian matrix $\partial^2 V / \partial x_i \partial x_j$ has positive eigenvalues for the solution in eq. (12).

5 Conclusions

We have studied a model for TB lepton mixing. The model is based on the flavor symmetry $A_4 \ltimes (Z_2)^3$. Most of the models based on A_4 need two scalar flavor-triplets that take vevs with different alignments in order to give TB mixing. The reason is that one of the two scalar triplet breaks A_4 into Z_2 in the neutrino sector, while the second scalar triplet breaks A_4 into Z_3 in the charged lepton sector. The misalignment between the two sectors yields to the large TB mixing. However it has been shown that vevs misalignment is not a natural solution of the scalar potential without introducing supersymmetry or extra-dimensions.

In our model the vevs of the two scalar flavor-triplets interacting respectively with the neutrino sector and the charged lepton sector, have the same alignment. Unwanted off-diagonal terms in the charged lepton mass matrix are removed by means of the $(Z_2)^3$ symmetry. Since the groups A_4 and $(Z_2)^3$ do not commute, the flavor group is bigger than A_4 and is given by their semidirect product, namely $A_4 \ltimes (Z_2)^3$. This method to remove off-diagonal terms in the charged sector has already been used in [13, 22, 24, 25] where the basic groups are S_3 and $\Delta(27)$. In [13, 22, 24, 25] the fermion mass hierarchies are related to the different vevs of ϕ_1 , ϕ_2 and ϕ_3 and it has been showed that $\langle \phi_1 \rangle \ll \langle \phi_2 \rangle \ll \langle \phi_3 \rangle$ is possible by assuming *soft-breaking* terms of dimension two in the scalar potential like $\phi_i^\dagger \phi_i$ and/or $\phi_i^\dagger \phi_j$. Our approach is completely different because we have A_4 then the fermion masses are proportional to the yukawa couplings. We can generate fermion mass hierarchies by adding an extra FN symmetry and we do not require to softly break the flavor symmetry. We have studied the $G_f \times Z_3$ invariant Higgs potential. We have shown that the solution with all the ϕ 's and φ 's acquiring equal vevs is a possible minimum. Neutrino get masses from a dimension-five Weinberg operators. We do not enter into the details of the dynamical mechanism that generate light neutrino masses.

Acknowledgments

Work supported by MEC grant FPA2008-00319/FPA, by European Commission Contracts MRTN-CT-2004-503369 and ILIAS/N6 RII3-CT-2004-506222.

References

- [1] T. Schwetz, M. Tortola and J. W. F. Valle, New J. Phys. **10**, 113011 (2008), [arXiv:0808.2016 [hep-ph]]; for previous analysis and relevant experimental references see also, e. g. M. Maltoni, T. Schwetz, M. A. Tortola and J. W. F. Valle, New J. Phys. **6**, 122 (2004), [hep-ph/0405172 v6]
- [2] G. L. Fogli, E. Lisi, A. Marrone and A. Palazzo, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. **57**, 742 (2006) [arXiv:hep-ph/0506083].
- [3] P. F. Harrison, D. H. Perkins and W. G. Scott, Phys. Lett. **B530**, 167 (2002), [hep-ph/0202074].
- [4] E. Ma and G. Rajasekaran, Phys. Rev. D **64** (2001) 113012 [arXiv:hep-ph/0106291];
- [5] K. S. Babu, E. Ma and J. W. F. Valle, Phys. Lett. B **552** (2003) 207 [arXiv:hep-ph/0206292];
- [6] F. Feruglio, C. Hagedorn, Y. Lin and L. Merlo, Nucl. Phys. B **775**, 120 (2007) [arXiv:hep-ph/0702194].
- [7] M. C. Chen and K. T. Mahanthappa, Phys. Lett. B **652**, 34 (2007) [arXiv:0705.0714 [hep-ph]].
- [8] C. S. Lam, Phys. Rev. D **78**, 073015 (2008), 0809.1185.

- [9] F. Bazzocchi and S. Morisi, arXiv:0811.0345 [hep-ph].
- [10] H. Ishimori, Y. Shimizu and M. Tanimoto, arXiv:0812.5031 [hep-ph].
- [11] I. de Medeiros Varzielas, S. F. King and G. G. Ross, Phys. Lett. B **648**, 201 (2007) [arXiv:hep-ph/0607045].
- [12] E. Ma, arXiv:0808.1729 [hep-ph]
- [13] W. Grimus and L. Lavoura, arXiv:0809.0226 [hep-ph]
- [14] A. Zee, Phys. Lett. **B630**, 58 (2005), [hep-ph/0508278].
- [15] E. Ma, Phys. Rev. **D70**, 031901 (2004), [hep-ph/0404199].
- [16] M. Hirsch, S. Morisi and J. W. F. Valle, arXiv:0810.0121 [hep-ph].
- [17] G. Altarelli and F. Feruglio, Nucl. Phys. **B720**, 64 (2005), [hep-ph/0504165].
- [18] T. Kobayashi, Y. Omura and K. Yoshioka, arXiv:0809.3064 [hep-ph]
- [19] K. S. Babu and X. G. He, arXiv:hep-ph/0507217.
- [20] G. Altarelli and F. Feruglio, Nucl. Phys. **B741**, 215 (2006), [hep-ph/0512103].
- [21] G. Seidl, arXiv:0811.3775 [hep-ph].
- [22] W. Grimus and L. Lavoura, arXiv:0811.4766 [hep-ph].
- [23] S. Weinberg, Phys. Rev. Lett. **43**, 1566 (1979).
- [24] W. Grimus and L. Lavoura, JHEP **0601**, 018 (2006) [arXiv:hep-ph/0509239].
- [25] R. N. Mohapatra, S. Nasri and H. B. Yu, Phys. Lett. B **639**, 318 (2006) [arXiv:hep-ph/0605020].